Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Jagshir Singh,

s/o Gian Singh, # 9/20, Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana – 141 101

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Assistant Inspector General of Police (Crime), Punjab, Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana **First Appellate Authority,** o/o Additional Director General of Police, Punjab Police Headquarters, Sector-9 A, b Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 273 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: Jagshir Singh (Appellant) 81958-00345 (Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 6.3.2020, 26.6.2020, 3.9.2020)

1. The RTI application is dated **19.10.19** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding application No. 2-PB/18/2019 NCSC dated 6.3.19 and 2317 (Crime Wing) dated 15.10.19**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **31.10.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **7.1.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **3.9.2020**.

2. The respondent is absent. The appellant, who is present at this hearing, stated that he has received the requested information and is fully satisfied with it.

4. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED.**

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Jagshir Singh,

S/o Sh. Gian Singh, # 9/20, Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana – 141 101

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna, District Ludhiana. **First Appellate Authority,** o/o Deputy Inspector General of Police, Ludhiana Range, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 4055 of 2019 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: Jagshir Singh (Appellant) 81958-00345 ASI Roor Singh (Respondent) 97800-01280

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 27.2.2020, 26.6.2020, and 3.9.2020)

1. The RTI application is dated **1.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding Complaint No. 378/DIG dated: 3.12.2018,** as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **5.8.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **31.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **26.6.2020**.

2. Both parties are present through Video Conference from the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Roor Singh stated that the remaining information has been handed over to the appellant. The appellant, also present at this hearing, has confirmed the same and is satisfied.

3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Ranjit Singh, s/o Atma Singh, # 897, Ward No. 23, Basant Nagar, Khanna, District Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna. First Appellate Authority, o/o Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 4202 of 2019 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Ranjit Singh (Appellant) 99157-60651 ASI Roor Singh (for the Respondent) 97800-01280

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 23.1.2020, 24.6.2020, and 2.9.2020)

1. The RTI application is dated **15.6.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information pertaining to</u> <u>two complaints to the SHO Police Station City-1 dated 19.3.19 and 15.4.19</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **21.7.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **13.11.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **2.9.2020**.

2. Both parties are present through Video Conference from the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Roor Singh has submitted a copy of the information supplied to the appellant, to this Commission. The appellant, who was evidently still not satisfied, was requested to list specific deficiencies. However, he has failed to avail of that opportunity. Nonetheless, at today's hearing the appellant has once again expressed dissatisfaction and insists that the information provided by the respondent if incomplete and/or unrelated to his RTI application.

3. This Commission has examined the furnished information in considerable detail and is of the view that all the requested information has in fact been supplied to the appellant. In any case, the appellant (who expressed apprehension that the information sent to the Commission may be different from what was given to him) is offered further opportunity of taking the documents (information) submitted to this Commission. For this, he is to seek prior appointment from the office of this Bench for any working Monday/Tuesday. He will be handed over the information submitted by the respondent PIO after he signs a receipt for the same.

4. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner Chandigarh 9.12.2020

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Ranjit Singh, s/o Atma Singh, # 897, Ward No. 23, Basant Nagar, Khanna, District Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna. First Appellate Authority, o/o Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 4203 of 2019 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Ranjit Singh (Appellant) 99157-60651 ASI Roor Singh (for the Respondent) 97800-01280

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 23.1.2020, 24.6.2020, and 2.9.2020)

1. The RTI application is dated **18.3.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information regarding an</u> <u>inquiry by DSP H. Sukesh Kumar on a complaint dated 12.11.18, which was marked vide No. 4205</u> <u>dated 17.11.19</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **21.7.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **13.11.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **2.9.2020**.

2. Both parties are present through Video Conference from the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Roor Singh has submitted a copy of the information supplied to the appellant, to this Commission. The appellant, who was evidently still not satisfied, was requested to list specific deficiencies. However, he has failed to avail of that opportunity. Nonetheless, at today's hearing the appellant has once again expressed dissatisfaction and insists that the information provided by the respondent if incomplete and/or unrelated to his RTI application.

3. This Commission has examined the furnished information in considerable detail and is of the view that all the requested information has in fact been supplied to the appellant. In any case, the appellant (who expressed apprehension that the information sent to the Commission may be different from what was given to him) is offered further opportunity of taking the documents (information) submitted to this Commission. For this, he is to seek prior appointment from the office of this Bench for any working Monday/Tuesday. He will be handed over the information submitted by the respondent PIO after he signs a receipt for the same.

4. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Ranjit Singh, s/o Atma Singh, # 897, Ward No. 23, Basant Nagar, Khanna, District Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Deputy Inspector General, Ludhiana Range, Ludhiana. **First Appellate Authority,** o/o Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 4204 of 2019 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Ranjit Singh (Appellant) 99157-60651 ASI Roor Singh (for the Respondent) 97800-01280

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 23.1.2020, 24.6.2020, and 2.9.2020)

1. The RTI application is dated **8.4.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information regarding the</u> <u>SSP Khanna's letter (No. 504-06/PC dated 12.4.19) to the DIG Range after inquiring into a</u> <u>complaint</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **21.7.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **13.11.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **2.9.2020**.

2. Both parties are present through Video Conference from the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Roor Singh has submitted a copy of the information supplied to the appellant, to this Commission. The appellant, who was evidently still not satisfied, was requested to list specific deficiencies. However, he has failed to avail of that opportunity. Nonetheless, at today's hearing the appellant has once again expressed dissatisfaction and insists that the information provided by the respondent if incomplete and/or unrelated to his RTI application.

3. This Commission has examined the furnished information in considerable detail and is of the view that all the requested information has in fact been supplied to the appellant. In any case, the appellant (who expressed apprehension that the information sent to the Commission may be different from what was given to him) is offered further opportunity of taking the documents (information) submitted to this Commission. For this, he is to seek prior appointment from the office of this Bench for any working Monday/Tuesday. He will be handed over the information submitted by the respondent PIO after he signs a receipt for the same.

4. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Ranjit Singh, s/o Atma Singh, # 897, Ward No. 23, Basant Nagar, Khanna, District Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna. First Appellate Authority, o/o Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 4160 of 2019 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Ranjit Singh (Appellant) 99157-60651 ASI Roor Singh (for the Respondent) 97800-01280

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 23.1.2020, 24.6.2020, and 2.9.2020)

1. The RTI application is dated **21.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information pertaining to</u> <u>darkhast No. 2155/SO/DGP dated 14.6.19 etc.</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **6.11.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **2.9.2020**.

2. Both parties are present through Video Conference from the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Roor Singh has submitted a copy of the information supplied to the appellant, to this Commission. The appellant, who was evidently still not satisfied, was requested to list specific deficiencies. However, he has failed to avail of that opportunity. Nonetheless, at today's hearing the appellant has once again expressed dissatisfaction and insists that the information provided by the respondent if incomplete and/or unrelated to his RTI application.

3. This Commission has examined the furnished information in considerable detail and is of the view that all the requested information has in fact been supplied to the appellant. In any case, the appellant (who expressed apprehension that the information sent to the Commission may be different from what was given to him) is offered further opportunity of taking the documents (information) submitted to this Commission. For this, he is to seek prior appointment from the office of this Bench for any working Monday/Tuesday. He will be handed over the information submitted by the respondent PIO after he signs a receipt for the same.

4. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner